

遺傳演算法配適最佳化投資組合條件風險值及風險模型績效評估

學生：江秉修

指導教授：林萍珍 博士

國立高雄應用科技大學金融資訊研究所碩士班

摘要

種類眾多的金融資產，及資訊流通的便利，讓資產的流動性提高，也使得風險提高，如何以共同標準來衡量風險已成為重要課題。風險值(Value at Risk, VaR)將風險量化成單一的數字，具有簡單易懂的優點。然而若資產的報酬分配不符合常態分配，VaR 作為投資組合最佳化的工具，可能無法正確評估風險，因此本研究以條件風險值(Conditional Value at Risk, CVaR)作為投資組合最佳化的工具，CVaR 可在報酬分配未知的情況下評估風險，且在最佳化 CVaR 的同時，即可得到最佳化 VaR 及投資組合權重。本研究採用遺傳演算法(genetic algorithm, GA)來配適最佳化 CVaR，GA 是根據達爾文的「演化論」所設計，可配適給定適應函數下的最佳結果。然而無論是何種風險模型，風險評估的正確性是相當重要的議題，國際結算銀行(BIS)要求金融機構要定期檢定風險評估方法的正確性，本研究為比較 GA 與傳統最佳化方法的差異，以三大評估準則—保守性(conservative)、準確性(accuracy)及效率性(efficiency)作為衡量風險估計績效的指標。由實證結果發現以 GA 作為風險最佳化的方法，無論是 VaR 或 CVaR，都較傳統最佳化方法來得保守，而且 CVaR 對風險的估計比 VaR 來得保守，因此 CVaR-GA 是最保守，但失敗率低，損失涵蓋度高的模型，而 Mean-VaR-NLP 最符合理論水準之要求，但較不保守，且失敗率也較高，以準確性而言，傳統最佳化方法較適當，以保守性而言則是 GA 較為適當。

關鍵字：風險值、條件風險值、遺傳演算法、投資組合、風險模型績效評估

Using Genetic Algorithm to Optimize the Conditional Value at Risk of Portfolio and Risk Models Evaluation

Student: Bing-Hsiu Chiang

Advisor: Dr. Ping-Chen Lin

Institute of Finance and Information

National Kaohsiung University of Applied Sciences

Abstract

The numerous kinds of financial assets and the convenience of information circulation increase not only the liquidity of assets, but also the risk. The question of how to measure the risk using a common standard has become an important one. With the advantages of being simple and easily understood, Value-at-Risk can quantify potential losses into numbers; however, it might not evaluate risk correctly if the return of assets is not of a normal distribution. In this study, Conditional Value at Risk (CVaR) is used as a portfolio optimization tool, which can perform risk assessment even in the case of an unknown distribution of return; at the same time, using the optimal CVaR, the optimal VaR and investment portfolio weights can be obtained. In addition, a genetic algorithm (GA) is applied in this study to fit the optimal CVaR. This algorithm is designed on the basis of Darwin's "evolution theory", and can fit the best result under a given environment. However, no matter the risk model, the accuracy of risk assessment is very important. BIS specifies that financial institutions must review the accuracy of risk assessment periodically. In this study, three evaluation criteria – conservancy, accuracy and efficiency – are used as performance indicators to measure risk estimates. From the empirical results, it can be seen that GA performed VaR and CVaR optimization more conservative than traditional optimization method. Because CVaR is more conservative than VaR, CVaR-GA is the most conservative risk model, but its failure rate is lowest and loss coverage rate is highest. Mean-VaR-NLP is the most in line with the requirements of theory but less conservative and higher failure rate. By applying the GA to CVaR, it would be more conservative than those produced by the traditional optimization method.

Keywords: Value-at-Risk, Conditional Value-at-Risk, Genetic Algorithm, Portfolio, Risk model Performance Evaluation

目錄

摘要.....	I
ABSTRACT.....	II
目錄.....	III
圖目錄.....	IV
表目錄.....	V
第一章 緒論.....	1
第二章 文獻回顧.....	5
2.1 風險值之定義.....	5
2.2 風險值相關文獻.....	7
2.3 連慣性(COHERENT)風險衡量指標.....	8
2.4 條件風險值之定義.....	9
2.5 條件風險值相關文獻.....	10
2.6 投資組合理論.....	12
2.7 風險值應用於投資組合理論相關文獻.....	14
2.8 遺傳演算法.....	16
2.9 遺傳演算法應用於投資組合相關文獻.....	17
2.10 風險績效指標.....	19
2.11 風險績效指標相關文獻.....	20
2.12 小結.....	22
第三章 研究方法.....	23
3.1 研究架構及流程.....	23
3.2 VAR 的定義式.....	24
3.3 CVAR 的定義式.....	25
3.4 MEAN-VAR 投資組合最佳化.....	28
3.5 遺傳演算法的編碼及適應函數.....	29
3.6 風險模型績效評估.....	31
第四章 實證分析.....	39
4.1 資料來源及基本敘述統計分析.....	39
4.2 實證設計.....	40
4.3 風險值估計之結果.....	42
第五章 結論與建議.....	58
參考文獻.....	60

參考文獻

- [1] 劉美纓，民 92，『銀行風險值模型之回顧測試與壓力測試-保守性、準確度及效率性』，2003「商情資料庫分析與建置之研究」成果發表會，東吳大學商學院主辦。
- [2] 洪幸資，民 93，控制風險值下的最適投資組合，國立政治大學金融研究所碩士論文。
- [3] 陳芊如，民 93，股價連動式債券之評價與投資風險分析，中原大學企業管理學系碩士學位論文。
- [4] 邱紹璋，民 94，具條件風險值考量下之訂價合約決策支援模式，淡江大學資訊管理學系碩士班碩士論文。
- [5] 邱騰珍、莊益源，民 94，『亞洲地區風險值模型之績效分析』，風險管理學報第七卷，第一期：5~28 頁。
- [6] 黃惠萱，民 95，最適資產配置模型績效之研究—M-V 與 M-CVaR 模型比較，銘傳大學財務金融學系碩士班碩士論文。
- [7] 林淑蓉，民 95，風險值與風險管理策略之研究，國立中央大學財務金融系碩士論文。
- [8] 張期猛，民 95，TEV 與 CVaR 模型之預測能力比較，國立彰化師範大學商業教育學系商業教育教學碩士班碩士論文。
- [9] 許倫維，民 95，探討在 Mean-Variance 模型下，VaR 或 CVaR 的條件限制對投資組合選擇的影響，國立清華大學科技管理研究所碩士論文。
- [10] 魏郁珣，民 95，買入和放空交易部位最適風險值模型之研究—以股票、商品及外匯市場為例，銘傳大學財務金融學系碩士班碩士論文。

- [11] 黃宏儒，民 95，兩年期定存利率保證下退休金制度之資產配置，銘傳大學風險管理與保險學系碩士班碩士論文。
- [12] 李國安，民 96，運用 RAROC 衡量台灣金融控股公司之經營績效，南華大學財務管理研究所碩士論文。
- [13] 田育任，民 96，以非線性資金配置為基礎的演化式股票評價模型，國立高雄應用科技大學資訊管理研究所碩士論文。
- [14] 魏銘寬，民 96，考慮近似尾端分佈條件下最佳化投資組合之研究，中原大學工業工程學系碩士學位論文。
- [15] 謝宏鑫，民 98，考量投資標的基本面、技術面分析並運用遺傳基因演算法決定最佳投資組合，國立臺北大學企業管理學系碩士論文。
- [16] 張佑任，民 99，產險公司經濟資本之估計-Copula 方法之應用，逢甲大學財務金融研究所碩士論文。
- [17] Artzner, P., Delbaen, F., Eber, J.-M. and Heath D., 1999, "Coherent Measure of Risk", *Mathematical Finance*, Vol. 9, No. 3, 203-228.
- [18] Anna Grazia Quaranta and Alberto Zaffaroni, 2008, "Robust optimization of conditional value at risk and portfolio selection", *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 32, 2046-2056.
- [19] Christoffersen P., 1998, "Evaluating Interval Forecast", *International Economic Review*, 3 (4), pp. 841-862.
- [20] Campbell, R., Huisman, R. and Koedijk, K., 2001, "Optimal portfolio selection in a Value-at-Risk framework", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 25, 1789-1804.
- [21] Danielsson, J. and de Vries, C., 1997, "Value-at-Risk and Extreme Returns",

Working Paper, University of Iceland and Erasmus University.

- [22] Durate, A.M. and Alcantara, S.D.R., 1999, "Mean-Value-at-Risk Optimal Portfolios with Derivatives", *Derivatives Quarterly*, Vol. 6, pp. 56-64.
- [23] Engel, J. and M. Gizycki, 1999, "Conservatism, Accuracy and Efficiency: Comparing Value-at-Risk Models", Working Paper 2, Australian Prudential Regulation Authority.
- [24] Engle, R. and Manganelli, S., 2004, "CAViaR: Conditional Autoregressive Value at Risk by Regression Quantiles", *Journal of Business and Economics Statistics*, 22, 367-381.
- [25] F. Auderesson, H. Mausser, D. Rosen and S. Uryasev, 2001, "Credit risk optimization with Conditional Value-at-Risk criterion", *Mathematical Programming*, Vol. 89, pp. 273-291.
- [26] Hendricks, D., 1996, "Evaluation of Value-at-Risk Models Using Historical Data", *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Economics Policy Review*, Vol.2, pp. 39-69.
- [27] James X. Xiong and Thomas M. Idzorek, 2011, "The Impact of Skewness and Fat Tails on the Asset Allocation Decision", *Financial Analysts Journal*, Vol 67, No.2.
- [28] J. P. Morgan/Reuters, 1996, *RiskMetricsTM-Technical Document*, Fourth Edition.
- [29] Jose A. Lopez, 1996, "Regulatory Evaluation of Value-At-Risk Models", Working paper, *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Research and Market Analysis Group*.
- [30] Jorion, P., 1997, *Value at Risk: The New Benchmark for Controlling Derivatives*

Risk, McGraw-Hill.

- [31] J. S. Baixauli-Soler, E. Alfaro-Cid and M. O. Fernandez-Blanco, 2010, “Mean-VaR Portfolio Selection Under Real Constraints”, *Computational Economics*, Vol. 37, No. 2, pp. 113-131.
- [32] James X. Xiong and Thomas M. Idzorek, 2011, “The Impact of Skewness and Fat Tails on the Asset Allocation Decision”, *Financial Analysts Journal*, Vol. 67, No. 2.
- [33] Jung-Bin Su and Jui-Cheng Huang, 2011, “Empirical analysis of jump dynamics, heavy-tails and skewness on value-at-risk estimation”, *Economic Modelling*, Vol. 28, pp. 1117-1130.
- [34] Kupiec, P. H., 1995, “Techniques for Verifying the Accuracy of Risk Measurement Models”, *The Journal of Derivatives*, 3(2), pp. 73-84.
- [35] Krokmal, P., Palmquist, J. and S. Uryasev, 2001, “Portfolio optimization with conditional Value-at-Risk objective and constraints”, *The Journal of Risk*, Vol. 4, No. 2, Winter 2001/02.
- [36] Markowitz, H., 1952, “Portfolio Selection”, *Journal of Finance*, Vol.7, No. 1, pp. 77-91.
- [37] Nikkin L. Beronilla and Dennis S. Mapa, 2008, “Range-Based Models in Estimating Value-at-Risk (VaR)”, *The Philippine Review of Economics*, Vol. XLV, No. 2, pp. 87-100. <http://mpira.ub.uni-muenchen.de/21223/>.
- [38] Pflug, G.Ch., 2000, “Some Remarks on the Value-at-Risk and the Conditional Value-at-Risk. In: S. Uryasev (Ed) *Probabilistic Constrained Optimization: Methodology and Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers.

- [39] Ping-Chen Lin and Po-Chang Ko, 2009, "Portfolio value-at-risk forecasting with GA-based extreme value theory", *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36, 2503-2512.
- [40] Parrak, R. and Seidler, J., 2010, "Mean-Variance & Mean-VaR Portfolio Selection: A simulation Based Comparison in the Czech Crisis Environment", *Institute of Economic Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences Charles University in Prague*, IES working paper, 27/2010.
- [41] Roy, A.D., 1952, "Safety First and the Holding of Assets", *Econometrica*, Vol. 20, No. 3, pp. 431-449.
- [42] Rockafellar, R.T. and S. Uryasev, 2000, "Optimization of Conditional Value-at-Risk", *Journal of Risk*, Vol. 2, No. 3, pp. 21-41.
- [43] R. Gencay and F. Selcuk, 2004, "Extreme Value theory and Value-at-Risk: Relative performance in emerging markets", *International Journal of Forecasting*, 20, 287-303.
- [44] S.D. Bekiros and D.A. Georgoutsos, 2005, "Estimation of Value-at-Risk by extreme value and conventional methods: a comparative evaluation of their predictive performance", *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions & Money*, 15, 209-228.
- [45] Xi-Dong Shao, Yu-Jun Lian and Lian-Qian Yin, 2009, "Forecasting Value-at-Risk using high frequency data: The Realized range model", *Global Finance Journal*, Vol 20, pp. 128-136.
- [46] Yan Olszewski, 2005, "Building a Better Fund of Hedge Funds: A Fractal and α -Stable Distribution Approach", Working Paper, Maple Financial Alternative

Investments, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.